## New Paltz Central School District Equity Report Card

Presented to the New Paltz Board of Education on 1-9-19

## Goal

To eliminate race, class, ability, and gender as predictors of academic performance and social-emotional well-being in the New Paltz Central School District.

## Disproportionality

- The data in this report have been generated by the Mid-Hudson Regional Information Center (MRIC). These are NOT the data published by the State Education Department. The State of New York has not identified the New Paltz Central School District for disproportionality based on The Special Education School District Data Profile. This profile is prepared in accordance with the requirement of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). Each State must have a State Performance Plan (SPP) to evaluate the State's efforts to meet the requirements and purposes of the implementation of IDEA. The SPP is a sixyear plan which describes New York State's performance on 20 indicators. States must report annually to the public on the performance of the State in an Annual Performance Report (APR) and each school district against the State's targets. New Paltz has and continues to meet these targets.
- Additionally, achievement data for the New Paltz Central School District specific to the New York State Regents Exams is continually cited as a mark of excellence by the State of New York.
- However, our expectations are different. As our internal data reflect the outcomes of our work, this information is very important to us. Through our Racial Equity Initiative and with the implementation of this Equity Report Card, we strive to close gaps among student groups as demonstrated by our internal data, while continuing to meet the State Education Department thresholds in this regard.


## The Data

- Demographic
- Achievement
- 3-8 Assessments
- Reading Achievement K - 5
- Regents
- Grade point average
- Other
- Tardies, In- and out-of-school suspensions, attendance


## Data Overview

- The Equity Report Card presents descriptive data related to demographics and student achievement. However, since the purpose of the report is to identify areas of disproportionality, where differences in special education status for groups of students were identified and where differences in achievement data for groups of students were identified, tests of means and tests of proportions were run. Because the number of students in varied student populations can differ widely from a very large number to a very small number, tests of means and tests of proportions clarify whether the identified difference is statistically significant. Where run, these significance findings appear as a footnote below the table.
- It should be noted that, where a statistically significant difference is found, no determination of the cause is ascertainable at this time. Further, longitudinal data will be monitored to determine if the difference is cohort specific. Where these statistically significant differences are found, we will create an action plan to determine the root cause and provide corrective actions toward reducing the disproportionality.


## Middle School and High School Summary <br> Demographic Data

Middle School and High School Summary by Ethnicity


## Middle School and High School Summary by

 Race

Free and Reduced Lunch Status (FRL/Not FRL)


Free and Reduced

Middle School and High School Summary Free and Reduced Lunch by Ethnicity and Race


# Middle School and High School Summary Special Education Status (IEP/Non-IEP) 



## Middle and High School Summaries Special Education Status (IEP/Non-IEP)



# Middle and High School Summary Special Education Status by Gender and Race 

|  |  | Females |  |  |  |  | Males |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Asian | Black | Mixed/Other | White | Asian | Black | Mixed/Other | White |
|  |  | Column <br> N \% | Column <br> N \% | Column N \% | Column N \% | Column <br> N \% | Column N \% | Column N \% | Column N \% |
| Special | NonIEP | 90.30\% | 82.10\% | 91.70\% | 87.30\% | $\begin{array}{r} 100.00 \\ \% \end{array}$ | 68.40\% | 83.30\% | 80.70\% |
|  | IEP | 9.70\% | 17.90\% | 8.30\% | 12.70\% | 0.00\% | 31.60\% | 16.70\% | 19.30\% |

# Middle and High School 

Summary
Tests of Significance

# Middle and High School Summary Special Education Status by Gender and Ethnicity 

|  |  | GEN | DER |  |  | Ethn |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Female | Male |  |  | NonHispanic | Hispanic |
|  |  | Column N \% | Column N \% |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Column } \\ & \mathrm{N} \% \end{aligned}$ | Column |
| Special | Non-IEP | 87.3\% | 80.7\% | Special | Non- | 85.1\% | 74.7\% |
| Education |  |  |  | Education | IEP |  |  |
| Status | IEP | 12.7\% | 19.3\% | Status | IEP | 14.9\% | 25.3\% |

*Males students have a significantly higher IEP percentage than female students ( $p<.05$ ).
*Hispanic students have a significantly higher IEP percentage than non-Hispanics students ( $p<.05$ ).

## Middle and High School Summary Tests of Significance For English Language Learner Status and Socio-Economic Status

|  |  | English Language Learners |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | Not ELL |  |

*ELL students have a significantly higher IEP percentage than Non-ELL students (p<.05).

|  |  | Free and Reduced Lunch Status |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Not FRL | FRL |
|  |  | Column N \% | Column N \% |
| Special <br> Education | Non-IEP | 88.1\% | 70.3\% |
| Status | IEP | 11.9\% | 29.7\% |

*FRL students have significantly higher IEP percentage than Not FRL students ( $p<.05$ ).

## Middle and High School Summary <br> Achievement Data <br> Data Related to Behaviors Which Support Learning

|  | Asian | Black | Mixed/Other | White |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Tardies | 8.514 | 9.589 | 7.333 | 9.324 |
| Unexcused Absences | 10.388 | 10.414 | 8.964 | 9.060 |
| Excused Absences | 4.577 | 4.400 | 4.412 | 4.277 |
| Cumulative in School Suspension | 5.000 | 1.923 | 1.000 | 1.764 |
| Cumulative Out of School Suspension | 1.500 | 1.667 | 7.000 | 1.479 |
| Q4 GPA | 88.408 | 79.444* | 85.833 | 86.707 |
| Math final course grade 17-18 | 85.620 | 76.180* | 84.862 | 82.163 |
| English final course grade 17-18 | 86.837 | 78.571** | 85.037 | 85.136 |

*Black student group average is significantly lower than other student groups' averages ( $p<.05$ ).
**Black student group average is significantly lower than Asian and White student groups' averages ( $p$ .05).

## Regents Achievement Data by Race

## Common Core English Language Arts and Algebra Regents Scores

|  | Asian | Black | Mixed/ Other | White |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA Regents Score | Mean 80 | Mean 65* | Mean 84 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ 83^{*} \end{gathered}$ |
| *Black student group average is significantly lower than White student group average ( $p<.05$ ). |  |  |  |  |


|  | Asian | Black | Mixed/ Other | White |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algebra | Mean | Mean | Mean 77 | Mean |
| Regents Score |  |  |  |  |
| *Black student group average is significantly lower than Asian and White student group average ( $p<.05$ ). |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Global Studies, Earth Science, and Living Environment Regents Scores

|  | Asian | Black | Mixed/ <br> Other | White |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Global Regents Score* | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| *No significant differences | 75 | 86 | 84 |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Asian | Black | Mixed/ <br> Other | White |
|  | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Earth Science <br> Regents Score | 85 | $69^{*}$ | 81 | $83^{*}$ |


|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Asian | Black | Mixed/ <br> Other | White |
|  | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Living E Environment Regents <br> Score* | 87 | 75 | 72 | 83 |

*No significant differences

# Duzine and Lenape Summary Demographic Data 

# Duzine Elementary School <br> Race 

School: dUZINE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL


## Lenape Elementary School

## Race

School: LENAPE ELEM


## Duzine Elementary School <br> Socio-Economic Status (FRL/Not-FRL)



## Lenape Elementary School Socio-Economic Status (FRL/Not-FRL)

Status


## Duzine Elementary School <br> Special Education Status

CsE

:

## Lenape Elementary School Special Education Status



# Duzine and Lenape Elementary School <br> Tests of Significance 

## Duzine Elementary School <br> Running Record Scores by Race

| End of Year Running Record Leve*1 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Asian } \\ \text { Column } \mathrm{N} \\ \% \\ 8.3 \% \end{gathered}$ | Black Column N $\%$ $11.8 \%$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mixed } \\ \text { Column N } \\ \% \\ 23.1 \% \end{gathered}$ | White Column N \% 15.9\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Early <br> Emergent | 8.3\% | 11.8\% | 23.1\% | 15.9\% |
|  | Emergent | 50.0\% | 47.1\% | 34.6\% | 37.5\% |
|  | Early fluent | 33.3\% | 41.2\% | 30.8\% | 38.4\% |
|  | Fluent | 8.3\% | 0.0\% | 11.5\% | 8.2\% |

*No significant differences

## Lenape Elementary School Running Record Scores by Race


*No significant differences

## Duzine Elementary School Special Education Status by Race

| Special EducationStatus* | Asian | Black | Mixed | White |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Column | Column | Column N | Column |
|  | N \% | N \% |  | N \% |
|  | 100.0\% | 77.8\% | 84.6\% | 88.2\% |
|  | 0.0\% | 22.2\% | 15.4\% | 11.8\% |
| *No significant differences |  |  |  |  |

## Lenape Elementary School Special Education Status by Race

| Special Education |  | Asian | Black | Mixed | White |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Column } \\ & \mathrm{N} \% \end{aligned}$ | Colum n N \% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Column } \\ & \mathrm{N} \% \end{aligned}$ | Column N \% |  |
|  | No IEP | 100.0\% | 73.7\% | 85.7\% |  | 83.6\% |
| Status* | IEP | 0.0\% | 26.3\% | 14.3\% |  | 16.4\% |

## Duzine Elementary School Special Education Status by Gender

|  |  | GENDER |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Females | Males |
|  | Column N $\%$ | Column N $\%$ |  |
|  |  | $90.5 \%$ | $84.9 \%$ |
| Special Education Status* | No IEP | $9.5 \%$ | $15.1 \%$ |
|  | IEP |  |  |

## Lenape Elementary School Special Education Status by Gender

| Special Education Status |  | GENDER |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Females | Males |
|  | No IEP | $\text { Column } \underset{89.0 \%}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | $\text { Column } \underset{78.9 \%}{\mathrm{~N}}$ |
|  | IEP | 11.0\% | 21.1\%* |

*Males have a significantly higher IEP percentage than female students ( $\mathrm{p}<.05$ ).

## Duzne Elementary School

Special Education Status by English
Language Learners

| Special Education Status* |  | English Language Learners |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Not ELL | ELL |
|  | No IEP | $\text { Column } \underset{88.3^{\mathrm{N}} \%}{\%}$ | $\text { Column } \underset{80.0 \%}{\mathrm{~N} \%}$ |
|  | IEP | 11.7\% | 20.0\% |

*No significant differences

# Lenape Elementary School Special Education Status by English Language <br> Learner 

| Special Education Status* |  | English Language Learners |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Not ELL Column N $84.1 \%$ | Not ELL Column N 73.9\% |
|  | No IEP |  | 73.9\% |
|  | IEP | 15.9\% | 26.1\% |

## Duzine Elementary School <br> Special Education Status by SocioEconomic Status

|  |  | Free and Reduced Lunch Status |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Not FRL | FRL |
| Special Education Status* | No IEP | Column $\mathrm{N} \%$ | Column $\mathrm{N} \%$ |
|  | IEP | $91.6 \%$ | $76.5 \%$ |

*FRL students have a significantly higher IEP percentage than Non-FRL students ( $p<.05$ ).

## Lenape Elementary School Special Education Status by SocioEconomic Status

|  |  | Free and Reduced Lunch Status |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Not FRL | FRL |
|  |  | Column N $\%$ | Column N $\%$ |
|  |  | $85.8 \%$ | $76.6 \%$ |
|  | No IEP | $14.2 \%$ | $23.4 \%$ |

*FRL students have a significantly higher IEP percentage than Non-FRL students ( $\mathrm{p}<.05$ ).

## Noticings

- New This Year:
- Data specific to Secondary and Elementary Levels
- Significance Tests at Elementary indicate:
- No significant differences in achievement
- Significant difference in classification rate of students in lower socio-economic status and males (Lenape only)
- Second year of secondary data demonstrate the following repeated significant differences:
- Special Education Status by socio-economic status, English Language Learner status, gender and ethnicity
- GPA for black students
- Regents: Earth Science
- Global Studies Regents not repeated; Common Core ELA significant difference this year.


## Next Steps

- Timeline for last year's report card
- Second year of cohort at the secondary
- Draft Corrective Action Plans in Plans
- Will move forward with GPA and Earth Science
- Move forward with Action Plans for special education status and second year of significant differences.

